March 2016



Finance & Resources - David Skinner							
CE_F01 Lack of resources	to be able to spend the ap	propriate time analysing	the Councils 3rd party exp	enditure			
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Financial	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Neil Harden	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	1 Very Unlikely	4 Severe	4 Green		
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance		
approach and will have limited ability to focus on those areas of procurement which account for the majority of the Council's 3rd party expenditure. It will also expose the Council to potential breaches of		- Spikes Cavell spend analysi - Realignment of the Service capacity -Stradia procurement consu to provide additional suppor the Total Asset Mangement	will increase resource Itants have been appointed of the procurement of	The commissioning of Stradi released a DBC officer to ana expenditure. It has also ena expenditure to be 'pro-class allow the spend to be analys effectively removed the risk.	alyse third party bled the third party ed' in Agresso which will sed in real time. This has		
		Sign Off and	l Comments				
Sign Off Complete							

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 1 of 17

Based on the assurance and the low level of risk following the implementation of the controls sets out I propose that this risk be reviewed in 2016/17.

March 2016



CE_F02 Lack of resources to be able to design and implement a Category Management approach to the Councils 3rd party expenditure							
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Financial	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Neil Harden	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
4 Very Likely	3 High	12 Red	1 Very Unlikely	3 High	3 Green		
Consec	quences	Current Controls		Assurance			
The consequences are the same as for CE_F01 above. - Realign capacity - The ato proving procure.		capacity The appointment of Stradia procurement consultatnts to provide additional support for the major procurement contracts has increased the capacity of the		Once the toolkit is introduced and used consistently			
Sign Off and Comments							

Sign Off Complete

Based on the assurance and the low level of risk following the implementation of the controls sets out I propose that this risk be reviewed in 2016/17.

CE_R08 The CSGC contractor focuses attention on meeting speed of response targets and not quality of service							
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Reputational	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Neil Harden	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
2 Unlikely	3 High	6 Amber	3 Likely	3 High	9 Amber		
Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance			

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 2 of 17

March 2016



Calls are not resolved at first point of contact.
Insufficient time is dedicated to callers to ensure that call has been fully resolved in a satisfactory manner.
Reputational damage to the Council.

- Six monthly customer satisfaction survey
- Quarterly quality assessment by Group Managers
- Monthly meetings with back officers to assess service delivery and monthly operational Board meetings to escalate issues.
- monitoring of complaints and perfo

- Monthly performance reports
- Minutes of Operational Board meetings
- Quarterly Partnership Board meetings

All of the above provide assurances that the CSGC contractor maintains focus on achieving the response targets and quality of service.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

Based on the assurance and the low level of risk following the implementation of the controls sets out I propose that this risk be reviewed in 2016/17.

CE_R09 During the transformation of the CSCG there is a high level of requirement for DBC staff resource						
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
Financial	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Neil Harden	Treating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
4 Very Likely	3 High	12 Red	3 Likely	3 High	9 Amber	
Consec	quences	Current Controls		Assurance		
The Customer Relationship Management and Channel Shift projects cannot be implemented in the timescales resulting in reduced value from the contract or - Digital Dacorum properties of the contract or and development or		 Digital Dacorum project se Northgate to support work t and development of custom CRM project board oversed 	o implement channel shift er insight.	 Terms of Reference of Digit Digital Dacorum project strand web functionality and dinclusion Project plan for CRM imple 	reams include channel shift evelopment, digital	
Sign Off and Comments						
Sign Off Complete						

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 3 of 17

March 2016



Based on the assurance and the low level of risk following the implementation of the controls sets out I propose that this risk be reviewed in 2016/17.

CE_R10 The introduction of inform 360 and automated self-service options via telephone reduces access and/or satisfaction with contact							
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Reputational	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Neil Harden	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	3 Likely	3 High	9 Amber		
Conse	quences	Current Controls		Assurance			
Increase in customer dissatisfaction with the Council. Potential reduction in contact with customers and missed opportunities for citizen insight - Monthly meetings and quasissess quality of customer contact - Monitoring of customer contact - Review of scripts by Service		ts mments and complaints	Minutes of Operational and Partnership Board meeting - Digital Dacorum project st and web functionality and coinclusion	s reams include channel shift			
Sign Off and Comments							
Sign Off Complete							

This risk will be reviewed in 2016/17.

CE_R11 Integration of CRM systems cannot be accomplished due to technical difficulties or high cost							
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Infrastructure	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Neil Harden	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
3	4	12	3	3	9		
Likely	Severe	Red	Likelv	High	Amber		

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 4 of 17

March 2016



Consequences	Current Controls	Assurance
The CRM project cannot be completed resulting in	- Integration requirements identified in the CRM PID.	CRM Project Initiation Document and project plan.
failure of the CSCG project and reduced impact of	Integrations assessed and taken forwards on the basis of	Delivery requirements identified by workshops
Citizen Insight and Evidence Based Decision Making	need, impact and costs	CRM project board oversight
initiatives. Resource intensive manual operations	CSU Knowledge hub created with up to date online	
introduced as work around solutions	manuals to support development of CRM and training of	
	staff.	

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

The implementation of CRM will be reviewed in 2016/17. This will risk will be superceded by the delivery of that project with associated risks and controls.

FR_F02 Delays to Capital programme						
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
Financial	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
3 Likely	2 Medium	6 Amber	5	2 Medium	10 Red	
Consec	quences	Current Controls		Assurance		
Consequences Many of the major projects within the Capital Programme are fundamental to delivery of the Council's corporate objectives. Therefore significant delays can impact on the achievement of the corporate plan. Financial decision-making is negatively affected if the timing of projects in the Capital Programme is wrong. This can result in lost investment income or increased		The controls that have been implemented to mitigate this risk target the robustness of capital bids both at the time they are submitted and throughout the delivery phase of the projects. In particular, scrutiny is focussed on those elements of the capital bid that experience indicates are the primary		The 2013/14 Final Outturn scapital projects was around Budget approved by Member an improvement on previous against Original Budget has budget has at the end of Quarter 2 20 the year), the capital forecast	30% against the Original ers in February 2013. This is syears where slippage been around 60%.	

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 5 of 17

March 2016



interest costs as the Council moves closer to the point where it will need to borrow.

The estimated delivery date is considered as part of the decision to allocate capital funds to one project over another. If estimated timings are not accurate, there is a contractors to deliver the works? risk that the allocation of funds is not being decided on appropriately.

If inaccurate project management is tolerated, there is a risk that the culture of financial management across the Council will be negatively affected which will have consequences for wider financial decision-making.

Not delivering major projects within the timeframe to which it has committed itself exposes the Council to reputational risk.

â?¢ How robust are the assumptions on the estimated duration of the procurement exercise?

â?¢ How realistic is the estimated time taken for

â?¢ How realistic are the assumptions on officer availability to manage the project on time?

The rationale behind this approach is that an increased culture of challenge will lead to more realistic programming of future capital projects, and therefore a reduced likelihood of slippage.

The following controls are in place with a view to developing a culture of scrutiny and challenge for officers to improve the accuracy of future bids:

â?¢ Capital Strategy Steering Group (CSSG) comprising senior officers from across the Council required to challenge new bids for robustness ahead of recommendation to Members;

â?¢ Monthly meetings take place between accountants and budget holders to monitor progress against original timeframes and costs:

broadly on budget, with no material slippage reported at this stage. Slippage on the HRA capital programme is forecast to be well below 5%.

The budget position as at Quarter 3 was reported to Cabinet in February 2015. The report showed that forecast net slippage on the General Fund Capital Programme was low at around 3%. Forecast slippage on the HRA Capital Programme has, however, increased significantly since the Quarter 2 forecast, at around 25%.

The Provisional Outturn was reported to Cabinet in may 2015. The report showed that slippage against the full year budget on the General Fund Capital Programme was around 10%. Outturn on the HRA shows slippage of around 24%. These are addressed in more detail in the sign-off notes, below.

2014/15 Confirmed slippage into 2015/16 was £10.1m or 10% of the total approved capital programme according to the 2014/15 approved accounts.

The Provisional Outturn was reported to Cabinet in May 2016. The report showed that slippage against the full year budget on the General Fund Capital Programme was around 28%. Outturn on the HRA shows slippage of around 5%. These are addressed in more detail in the sign-off notes, below.

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 6 of 17

March 2016



â?¢ Corporate Management Team (CMT) receive a monthly report on the progress of capital projects against anticipated timeframes;

â?¢ Performance Group comprising Chief Officers and cabinet Members receive a monthly report on the progress of current projects;

â?¢ Reports go to Cabinet and all Overview and Scrutiny

Committees (OSC) every quarter. These reports have been redesigned to focus on the more immediate risk of in-year delivery, highlighting higher risk areas to invite closer scrutiny from Members.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 7 of 17

March 2016



The Provisional Outturn position report presented to this Committee details the projected capital outturn.

The General Fund net position for 2015/16 is forecasting 28% slippage and 7% underspend. This is an increase in slippage from 2014/15 (10%).

HRA Slippage of 5% is forecast based around 3 schemes.

Significant slippage has occurred across a number of large regeneration projects (c£3.8m) and the fleet replacement programme (c£1.7m). The regeneration projects are as result of inaccurate initial profiling of cashflows and the fleet replacement programme is still being scoped out.

The underspends (c£2m) were a result of specific contingency items and a Cabinet decision in October 2015.

On the basis of this analysis I have maintained the residual probability score to 5 as the risk of significant slippage has been crystalised.

Additional actions to address these delays within the capital programme have been included within the 2016/17 budget setting process. The additional measures included scrutiny of delivery schedules, quality assurance of estimates and project challenge were part of the process of officer assurance, the work of the Budget Review Group and the work by members through the Joint Scrutiny process.

An additional action plan has been drafted for consideration to examine escalation and trigger points.

FR_F03 Variances in General Fund revenue budget

Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Financial	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3 Likely	2 Medium	6 Amber	2 Unlikely	2 Medium	4 Green
Consec	quences	Current Controls		Assurance	
Accurate, well-controlled budgeting relates directly to the achievement of the Dacorum Delivers corporate objective, and indirectly, through the financial decision-making process, to the achievement of all of the		Budget by ensuring that there is strong challenge put to Budget Holders on the robustness of their assumptions,		by Internal Audit. In January 2013, the Council received to a 'Full' level of assurance.	
Council's corporate objectives.		from a range of audiences.		A further Internal Audit on the Council's budgeting process, undertaken in September 2014, resulted in a	

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 8 of 17

March 2016



Inaccurate budgeting negatively affects the Council's ability to make evidence-based decisions. A significant underspend at year-end could indicate that funds have been needlessly diverted from a competing priority. A significant overspend at year-end could result in reserves being used to support lower priority objectives. budgets. Both of these could result in reputational damage for the Council.

Failure to address the causes of inaccurate budgeting could negatively impact the Council's culture of financial management, which in turn increases the risk of poor financial decision-making.

It is intended that these controls will increase the opportunity for flawed assumptions to be exposed as soon as possible, as well as inculcating a stronger culture previous audit, it should be noted that it remains a good of financial management across the Council leading to continuous improvement in the setting of accurate

The annual budget-setting process consists of an ongoing scrutiny process in which senior officers from across the Council, together with the Financial Services team, challenge the following year's budget bids from Group Managers.

This scrutiny process is augmented by the Budget Review Group (BRG), consisting of Chief Officer Group and representatives from the Portfolio Holder group, which provides early Member-level challenge.

There are two opportunities for OSCs to scrutinise the budget proposals and directly question the relevant officers before the budget report is finalised and considered by Cabinet and Council.

Once approved, in-year budget performance is managed Council has in place. through monthly meetings between accountants and budget holders, which underpin monthly reports to CMT Final confirmed outturn for 2014/15 was within £48k of and quarterly reports to Cabinet and OSCs.

The Council's Financial Regulations provide a guide to all budget-holders and are subject to annual review.

'Substantial' level of assurance. Despite this being a lower mark than the exceptional one achieved in the result.

The recommendations of the Internal Auditor that led to the reduced marking were not systemic in nature, and they do not pose a material threat to the overall control environment of the budget-setting process. Efforts have, however, been redoubled, and the causes of the recommendations have been addressed.

An Internal Audit report on the Council's 'Main Accounting' function was presented to Audit Committee in February 2015, in which a 'Full' level of assurance was awarded. This audit covered a range of areas including integrity of transactions, manual adjustments, and yearend procedures. All of these areas contribute to the accuracy of the in-year monitoring reports that the Finance team is able to produce. Consequently, Members can draw assurance from this audit opinion that the chances of this risk crystallising are reduced by the robust financial management procedures the

budget (after contributions to and from reserves) as reported to Audit committee and approved as part of the audited accounts and was consistent with the budget monitoring over the preceding year.

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 9 of 17

March 2016



An Internal Audit report on the Council's 'Budgetary Control' function was presented to Audit Committee in April 2016, in which a 'Full' level of assurance was awarded. This audit covered a range of areas including budget setting; budget upload; budget monitoring and savings realization; budget alterations and virements; and management information. All of these areas contribute to the accuracy of the in-year monitoring reports that the Finance team is able to produce. Consequently, Members can draw assurance from this audit opinion that the chances of this risk crystallising are reduced by the robust financial management procedures the Council has in place.

The 2015/16 audit of Core Financial Systems was also reported to have either Full or Substantial levels of assurance.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

FinO3 is GREEN and is currently projecting a favourable variance (£354k) or 1.8% of the controllable budget. The continued work in examining forecast overspends has achieved positive results.

FR_I02 Failure to optimise income generated by commercial assets

Category:	Corporate Priority:	Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Infrastructure	Dacorum Delivers	David Skinner	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 10 of 17

March 2016



Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3	3	9	2	3	6
Likely	High	Amber	Unlikely	High	Amber
Consec	luences	Current	Controls	Assur	rance
The council has a significant portfolio of commercially let properties, which provides one of the council's largest sources of income. Council officers must attempt to maximise income from these assets whilst avoiding the risk of vacant properties and increasing bad debts, which could arise if rents are set too high, and would jeopardise the council's achievement of its corporate objectives of Regeneration and Dacorum Delivers. The continuing recession and the difficulties it brings for local businesses increases the likelihood of this risk crystallising.		The following controls aim to performance of the Council's maintaining good communic relevant Council services, an	o mitigate the risk of unders commercial assets by cation links between d by regularly monitoring (see KPIs CP01 and CP02) to note is identified and ible. The existence of these rent Probability' of this risk ore of 3, which is shown in after controls for negotiating rent reviews the Debtors team to track creases their understanding usinesses are facing, and I income. erformance targets to dis (empty properties) below ears below 10%. Failure to	The year-end performance fi demonstrate that occupation (98.8& against a target of 95 arrears is also better than ta	igures for 2013/14 in rates are above target %), and that the level of irget (at 7.32% against a gures for 2014/15 rate of 98.3% against a rears is at 6.5% against a rears has increased slightly %. ding up well at 98.49% and in acceptable levels at 8.1%. irginally down at 97.99% ignificantly by 1.7% to 6.4%.
		investigation.		and arrears have reduced from	om 6.45 down to 5%.
		Sign Off and	Comments		

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 11 of 17

March 2016



Sign Off Complete

The team have worked hard to maximise the returns whilst ensuring there are high occupancy rates of commercial property. The transfer of properties from the HRA has significantly increased the rental by c£228k (net of repairs costs). Improved methods of full cost recovery have also contributed c£120k.

FR_I04 Failure to maintain an effective business continuity plan for all relevant service areas						
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
Infrastructure	Safe and Clean Environment		David Skinner	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	2 Unlikely	4 Severe	8 Amber	
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assurance		
Disruption caused by service failure leading to hardship for individuals, potential loss of business and significant reputational damage These controls are impleme Council is adequately prepa providing key services in the situation. Through this cont Council being unable to respis reduced. - Annual review process.		red and able to continue event of an emergency rol, the probaility of the bond to such an emergency uity process and procedures ase toolkit.				
Sign Off and Comments						
Sign Off Complete A review of business continuity procedures is being arranged and will be factored into service planning.						

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 12 of 17

March 2016



FR_R01 Council Tax and Business Rates collections rates drop below budget									
Category: Reputational	Corporate Priority: Dacorum Delivers		Risk Owner: David Skinner	Portfolio Holder: Cllr Graeme Elliot	Tolerance: Treating				
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score				
3 Likely	2 Medium	6 Amber	3 Likely	2 Medium	6 Amber				
Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance					
Distribution of collection fund to other preceptors is based on the budgeted collection level, if collection falls short this could lead to a cashflow issue within the Council's finances. The fund distribution is balanced after the end of the financial year.		possible if the Council is falling behind on its collection rates target for the year. If a problem is identified, the Council is then able to invoke a range of options to minimise the ongoing negative impact on collection. Profiled monthly collection rates are monitored monthly - see KPIs RBF04 and RBF05. Reasons for variances are then investigated in order to address problems quickly as possible. Direct debit payment is recommended for all customers — a pre-filled instruction is sent to all non-DD payers with their annual bill or a first bill for a new taxpayer. The direct debit method reduce the risk of undercollection because it eliminates the risk of a payer forgetting to make a monthly payment.		Q1 Council Tax collection rates is 30.2% versus a target of 30.1% Q1 Business Rates collection is 30.2% versus a target of 24.8% Q2 Business rates collection is 52.6% achieved versus a target of 51.5%. Council tax collection is on target at 58%. Q3 Business rates collection is 77.2% and is running at					

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 13 of 17

March 2016



last year.

Internal Audit have performed a routine assessment of the control environment within Council Tax collection and have given an assurance of Full/Substantial. This is a good result and has highlighted a number of minor improvements that can be made to the timeliness of some work. This does however provide assurance to members that the fundamental design and operation of the control processes are robust.

Members can gain additional assurance from the routine Internal Audit assessment of Business Rates as being at Full/Substantial levels.

Council tax collection for 2015/16 was 98% which is 0.3% improvement on 2014/15.

Business rate collection was 98.3% narrowly missing the target of 99% but improving on the 2014/15 performance by 0.2%.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

The team continue to improve year on year collection rates and this approach coupled with the assurance statements from internal audit will allow them to continue to develop the approaches required to deliver the high levels of collection required.

FR_R02 Delays and errors in the processing of Benefits claims Category: Corporate Priority: Risk Owner: Portfolio Holder: Tolerance: Reputational Dacorum Delivers David Skinner Cllr Graeme Elliot Treating

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 14 of 17

March 2016



Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
4	3	12	3	2	6
Very Likely	High	Red	Likely	Medium	Amber
Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance	
Consequences This risk links to the corporate objective Dacorum Delivers, focussing on an efficient and effective council. Customers could suffer personal hardship resulting from delays or errors in the processing of claims. Significant reputational risk associated with high-profile errors. Staff time spent on addressing unnecessary errors leads to duplication of effort and is an inefficient use of resources. Government subsidy for housing benefit expenditure is based on external audit certification of the claim made. There is financial risk if errors on cases are identified during their testing. Communications with claimants needs to be well writter and jargon-free in order to reduce the risk of repeat queries which puts pressure on limited staff resources.		The controls in place aim to monitoring performance to decision-making around rescheavily process driven service also helps to identify bottle need to be improved to optisubjecting the process to the the Service is able to reduce crystallising, hence the reduce crystallising, hence the reduce controls have been taken in Quality checking and individed management is in place. The has targets for their personal and information from quality to sustain improvement. Average time taken for proceed the processes are in place to expect the processes are in place to ex	mitigate this risk by closely assist with effective ource allocation. This is a ce area and close monitoring necks in the process which mise performance. By is regular in-depth scrutiny the probability of the risk ction between the Inherent all Risk score (2) after the to account. ual performance ese mean that each officer all productivity and accuracy, y checks is fed back in order essing new claims and rms part of monthly pedite cases where the cing eviction. These	The successful and continuous management of this risk can performance of KPI RBF01a - Decide a New Benefit Claim. Performance for the full year which was within the target. This represents an improven 27.4 days average in 2014/19.9 days against the 12/13 result should be noted that these achieved without additional the result of improved proceefficiency. Average time taken to decide benefit is 22.7 days versus a quarter since the same period has been met. Average time taken to decide Benefit is at 12.3 days versus.	usly improving be seen in the improved Average Time Taken to r 14/15 was 22.9 days, of 23 days for the first time. nent of 4.5 days over the 5, and an improvement of esult of 32.8 days. e improvments have been resource. It has purely been ess design and increased e a new claim for Housing target of 23. This is the first od last year where the target e a change event in Housing s a target of 13.0.

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 15 of 17

March 2016



or by customer services, homelessness, housing etc.

Monthly meetings are held between senior officers within Finance & Resources to monitor detailed performance levels at each stage of the claims process.

This enables intermediary targets to be set for discrete elements of the process, which in turn enables the more effective monitoring which has resulted in significantly improved performance over the last 6 months.

claims. The team have achieved 19.6 days versus a target of 23 days.

Q3 performance has been very good. New claims are now being processed within 17.4 days versus a target of 23 days. This is an improvement of 9.2 days on the same period last year and 2.2 days improvement on the previous quarter.

The average time taken to decide on a change event in Housing benefit is 10.8 days versus a target of 13 days. This is an improvement of 5.6 days on the same period last year and an improvement of 1.5 days on the previous quarter in this year.

Q4 performance has been very good. New claims are now being processed within 18.4 days versus a target of 23 days. This is an improvement of 6.2 days on the same period last year.

The average time taken to decide on a change event in Housing benefit is 4.9 days versus a target of 13 days. This is an improvement of 2.2 days on the same period last year and an improvement of 5.9 days on the previous quarter in this year. This reflects the inclusion of calculations relating to annual benefit uprating and rent changes but is still better than last year when similar changes occurred.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 16 of 17

March 2016



The Q4 performance is great news and significant performance benefits are beginning to see sustained levels of improvement. The year on year improvement in performance is good work that demonstrates the benefits of clearly focusing on improvements and clear performance management. The introduction of the Risk Based Verification (RBV) procedures will help to embed this performance level moving forward. The risk score is being maintained as though there is a positive trend in performance there is still some variability in underlying indicators. This is being monitored and once this has stabilised the score will be reviewed. Performance targets have been tightened for 2016/17.

26/05/2016 10:30AM Page 17 of 17